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Apart from the O and H atoms of the hydroxymethyl group, molecules of the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$, are essentially planar. Both O atoms act as hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors, resulting in helical hydrogen bonding in the direction of the $b$ axis and the formation of $R_{2}^{2}(12)$ rings. Weaker $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions are also present.

## Comment

Many bromophenols have been detected in blood (Olsen et al., 2002) and several antibacterial bromophenols are found in marine algae (Flodin \& Whitfield, 1999). 4-Bromo-2(hydroxymethyl)phenol (also known as 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzyl alcohol or bromosaligenin) is an anti-inflammatory agent (Merck, 1989) and spasmolytic (Negwer, 2000). When two OH groups are present in a molecule, a variety of hydrogen-bonding patterns are possible (Brock, 2002), so the main interest in the solid-state structure of the title compound, (I), is the determination of the hydrogen-bonding motifs.

(I)

A view of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1. The out-of-plane O 2 atom is 1.330 (3) $\AA$ from the mean plane of the remaining non- H atoms in the molecule, as indicated by the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-$ $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 2$ torsion angle [72.5 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$; Table 1]. There is no intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the two O atoms.

There are two intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2) and, in both cases, the intermolecular $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ separations [2.642 (3) and 2.781 (3) $\AA$ ] are shorter than the corresponding intramolecular separation [3.086 (3) A]. The hydrogen bonding is maximized by both O atoms acting as hydrogen-bond donors and acceptors, which results in an unusual three-dimensional pattern of hydrogen bonds. Fig. 2


Figure 1
A view of the molecule of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the $50 \%$ probability level.
shows the continuous corkscrew or helical arrangement of hydrogen bonds along the $b$ axis, with each helix involving all four symmetry-related molecules in the unit cell. The formation of the helices depends on the intermolecular symmetries [i.e. $\left(x,-y-\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\left.(1-x,-y, 2-z)\right]$ of the hydrogen bonds, and both left- ( L ) and right-handed ( R ) helices are present in the lattice. The pitch of the helix equates to the length of the $b$ axis [5.3329 (2) $\AA$ ], and overall each molecule


Figure 2
A view of the molecular packing of (I), showing the formation of left- (L) and right-handed ( R ) helical hydrogen bonds, and also $R_{2}^{2}(12)$ rings.


Figure 3
The formation of an $R_{2}^{2}(12)$ ring through intermolecular hydrogen bonding in (I). Atoms marked with an asterisk (*) are at the symmetry position (1-x, $-y, 2-z$ ).


Figure 4
Part of the crystal structure of (I), showing the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions.
is linked to three other molecules by four classical hydrogen bonds. Fig. 3 shows that the hydrogen bonding also produces an $R_{2}^{2}(12)$ ring between two molecules. This dimer formation, also shown in Fig. 2, is across an inversion centre. In addition, there are weak intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions (Desiraju \& Steiner, 1999), as shown in Fig. 4 and Table 2, but there is no evidence of any aromatic $\pi-\pi$ interactions. The shortest $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ intermolecular separation is 3.7173 (1) $\AA$, which is comparable to the sum of the van der Waals radii ( $3.70 \AA$; Bondi, 1964). The crystal structure of the related saligenin $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ molecule is also known (Zorkii et al., 1985), but no atomic coordinates are available.

## Experimental

The title compound was purchased from Sigma and recrystallized from diethyl ether.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}$
$M_{r}=203.04$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=16.3632$ (5) A
$b=5.3329(2) \AA$
$c=8.4108$ (3) $\AA$
$\beta=100.364(2)^{\circ}$
$V=721.98$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans to fill Ewald sphere
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SORTAV; Blessing, 1995, 1997)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.212, T_{\text {max }}=0.431$
5019 measured reflections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}=1.868 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 3029 \\
& \quad \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=2.9-27.5^{\circ} \\
& \mu=5.62 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=120(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Rod, colourless } \\
& 0.40 \times 0.24 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

1614 independent reflections
1430 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.067$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-20 \rightarrow 20$
$k=-6 \rightarrow 6$
$l=-10 \rightarrow 10$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.042$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.114$
$S=1.04$
1614 reflections
119 parameters
All H -atom parameters refined

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.897(3)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.441(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.369(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.494(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $-106.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $72.5(3)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ).
$C g$ is the centre of gravity of the aryl ring.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1-H1 $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | $0.88(4)$ | $1.81(4)$ | $2.642(3)$ | $159(3)$ |
| O2-H2 $\cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {ii }}$ | $0.77(3)$ | $2.04(3)$ | $2.781(3)$ | $162(4)$ |
| C3-H3 $\cdots g^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.97(3)$ | $2.97(3)$ | $3.768(3)$ | $141(3)$ |
| C6-H6 $\cdots g^{\text {iv }}$ | $0.88(4)$ | $2.97(3)$ | $3.717(3)$ | $144(3)$ |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-\frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z ;$ | (ii) $1-x,-y, 2-z ;$ (iii) $x,-\frac{1}{2}-y, z-\frac{3}{2} ;$ (iv) |  |  |  |
| $x,-\frac{3}{2}-y, z-\frac{1}{2}$. |  |  |  |  |

H atoms were refined freely, with isotropic displacement parameters. The highest residual electron density in the final difference map was associated with atom Br 1 .

Data collection, cell refinement and data reduction: DENZO (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997) and COLLECT (Hooft, 1998); structure solution: SIR97 (Altomare et al., 1999); structure refinement: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1998); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997).
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BM1532). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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